



# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(Not to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 09/915,188

Filing Date July 25, 2001

First Named Inventor John c. Dute' et al.

Art Unit 2154

Examiner Name Jungwon Chang

Attorney Docket Number 1-16437

## ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form<br><input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached<br><input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply<br><input type="checkbox"/> After Final<br><input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request<br><input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement<br><br><input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/<br>Incomplete Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts<br>under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition<br><input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a<br>Provisional Application<br><input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation<br>Change of Correspondence Address<br><input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer<br><input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund<br><input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____<br><input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD | <input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC<br><input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board<br>of Appeals and Interferences<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC<br>(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information<br><input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify<br>below):<br><br>Return Postcard<br>Supplemental Reply Brief |
|--|--|--|

Remarks

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC		
Signature			
Printed name	Stephen G. Kimmet		
Date	October 31, 2007	Reg. No.	52,488

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Roberta A. Winzeler	Date	October 31, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited  
with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in  
an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop APPEAL BRIEF  
PATENTS, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450,  
on October 31, 2007

Roberta A. Winzeler

(Name)  
Roberta A. Winzeler  
(Signature)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of: John C. Dute' et al.	) Group Art Unit: 2154
	)
Serial No.: 09/915,188	) Examiner: Jungwon Chang
	)
Filing Date: July 25, 2001	) Attorney Docket: 1-16437
	)
For: System, Device and Method for Comprehensive	)
Input/Output Interface Between Process or Machine	)
Transducers and Controlling Device or System	)

---

October 31, 2007

MAIL STOP APPEAL BRIEF – PATENTS  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF**

Honorable Sir:

This is in response to the Examiner's Answer of October 22, 2007 to the Reply Brief  
filed July 24, 2007.

## Reply

In the Examiner's Answer of October 22, 2007, the Examiner asserts that the specific claim limitations of "simultaneously receiving a condition from a sensor **and** sending commands to an actuator" fails to be properly supported by the disclosure passage of "receiving inputs from the sensor **or** sending commands to an actuator" at page 4, lines 4-12. Appellants, however, assert that the Examiner did not accurately quote said limitations of the single independent claim 86 and has improperly applied the above-stated portion of the subject disclosure.

First, the above-mentioned claim limitations state that said external controller is capable of simultaneously receiving a condition from each sensor **and** capable of simultaneously sending commands to each actuator. These limitations are reflected in the preamble where it claims a comprehensive interface circuit for simultaneously sensing input devices **and** output devices. In other words, the external controller of the comprehensive interface circuit simultaneously receives conditions from each sensor and sends commands to each actuator that is connected to either of the first/second physical circuit packages.

Appellants' position on the external controller and its specific simultaneous properties is clearly stated (as mentioned previously in the Brief on Appeal) in the disclosure as, "The controller 14, which is external to the physical packages 15, is capable of simultaneously receiving a condition from each sensor and is capable of sending commands to each actuator as in the above-discussed PLC/computer configuration" (page 3, lines 14-15) (emphasis added).

On the other hand, the proper application of the citation "receiving inputs from the sensor **or** sending commands to an actuator" (page 4, lines 4-12) pertains to the claim limitations of claim 86 for the individual first/second physical circuit packages, either of

which can be "electrically connected directly, exclusively, and physically to a single sensor or a single actuator, but not both simultaneously" (emphasis added). Appellants have consistently asserted that this portion of the disclosure applies to these limitations.

Consequently, Appellants assert that since both McLeish and Sitte do not simultaneously process input/output data, then claims 86-90, which do simultaneously process input/output data, are allowable. A favorable decision to that end is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen G. Kimmet  
Registration No. 52,488

ATTORNEYS:

MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC  
Four SeaGate, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toledo, OH 43604  
Tel: 419-249-7132  
Fax: 419-249-7151  
kimmet@marshall-melhorn.com